

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassachn, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orgho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,739	02/18/2004	Abhishek Chauhan	2006579-0556	1672	
69665 CHOATE HA	7590 07/29/200 LL & STEWART / CT	8 FRIX SYSTEMS, INC.	EXAM	MINER	
TWO INTERN	IATIONAL PLACE	LANIER, BENJAMIN E			
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/782,739	CHAUHAN ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2132					

		BENJAMIN E. I	_ANIER	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	п арре	ars on the cove	r sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPI	LICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior t application, applicant must timely file one of the fol application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance w periods:</li> </ol>	lowing of Appe	replies: (1) an ar eal (with appeal f	nendment, affidav ee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail	ing date	of the final rejection	n.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To				196(a) and the annualist	o outonalan foo			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peri- under 37 CFR 1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off may reduce any earned pa	od of ext of the s ice later	tension and the con shortened statutory than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief if filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	ny exter	nsion thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reje					cause			
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require fun (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT			r search (see NO	I E Delow);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application			al by materially re	ducina or simplifyina t	he iccuse for			
appeal; and/or	I III Det	aci ioiiii ioi appe	ar by materially re	ducing or annipmying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a d	corresponding nu	mber of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: amendments made to claim 32, and	d new o	laim 45. (See 37	CFR 1.116 and 4	1.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.12	21. See attached	Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.</li> </ol>	ction(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul non-allowable claim(s).				•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				II be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of gr was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is ne</li> </ol>	led to o	vercome all reject	tions under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>				*				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been consideration See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ered bu	t does NOT plac	e the application is	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Par	oer No(s)					
13. Other:								
		/Benjar	nin E Lanier/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2132

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).